

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/644,224	08/19/2003	Mark Terry	22473-08196	6464	
758 FENWICK & Y	7590 01/26/2007 WEST LLP	EXAMINER			
SILICON VALLEY CENTER			PRICE, RICHARD THOMAS JR		
801 CALIFOR MOUNTAIN V	NIA STREET VIEW, CA 94041	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•	, 200	•	3643	5)	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
. 3 MC	3 MONTHS 01/26/2007 PAPER				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		1 4	Cartina Na		4 11 11 1				
			olication No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/	644,224		TERRY, MARK				
		Exa	miner		Art Unit				
		Tho	mas Price		3643				
The MAIL Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply withi Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD F S LONGER, FROM THE M hay be available under the provisions 4S from the mailing date of this comm v is specified above, the maximum st in the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months a adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, cause	OF THIS CC In no event, howe by and will expire so the application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed he mailing date of this o ) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status									
2a) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since this	re to communication(s) file in is <b>FINAL</b> . application is in condition accordance with the practi	2b)⊠ This action for allowance e	on is non-fina except for for	mal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Clai	ms								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1 7) ☐ Claim(s) _ 8) ☐ Claim(s) _  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specifi 10) ☐ The drawin Applicant m Replaceme	-5 is/are pending in the apabove claim(s) is/a above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed5 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restrict cation is objected to by the g(s) filed on is/are: nay not request that any objected to declaration is objected to declaration is objected to	e Examiner  a) accepted at the correction is	tion required for b) □ objuing(s) be held	ment. ected to by the E in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C				
	•			andoned emoc					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
3) 🔯 Information Disclos	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (F sure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Jate <u>11/10/03; 12/16/03; 06/25/0</u>		5) 🔲	Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/644,224

Art Unit: 3643

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hinds, Jr. (US Patent 3,791,630) in view of Pardo (US Patent 5,281,426).

Hinds teaches a plurality of work stations including at least two immersion chambers operating at different temperatures, each work station including an ingress and egress for product transfers. A transport system communicates with an ingress or egress for at least one work station. However, Hinds does not teach the transport system operating within an environment including ambient air and a structure associated with the environment for circulating ambient air therethrough to remove particulate including ambient air. Pardo teaches the transport system operating within an environment including ambient air and a structure associated with the environment for circulating ambient air therethrough to remove particulate material from the ambient air. As for claim 1, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Hinds, with a structure associated with the environment for circulating ambient air, in view of the teachings of Pardo, in order to minimize any contamination for particulate matter. Regarding claim 2, Hinds does not teach a packaging station. Pardo teaches a packaging station operating within an

Art Unit: 3643

environment. Regarding claim 2, it would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Hinds, with a sealed packaging station, in view of the teachings of Pardo, in order to minimize any contamination from particulate matter. Regarding claim 3, the specific level of filtration for the combination of Hinds as modified by Partdo is considered to be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made depending on the specific particulate that is desired to be removed.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Price whose telephone number is 571-272-6892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30a.m. to 3:00p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571-272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas Price

Primary Examiner GAU: 3643

January 22, 2006

rtp